

## Amsterdam University of Applied Sciences

### **The influence of partners on lifestyle-related risk factors in patients after an acute coronary syndrome**

*results from the RESPONSE-2 randomized controlled trial*

Verweij, L.; Jorstad, H.T.; Minneboo, M.; ter Riet, G.; Peters, R.J.G.; Scholte op Reimer, W.J.M.; Snaterse, M.

#### **Publication date**

2020

#### **Document Version**

Final published version

[Link to publication](#)

#### **Citation for published version (APA):**

Verweij, L., Jorstad, H. T., Minneboo, M., ter Riet, G., Peters, R. J. G., Scholte op Reimer, W. J. M., & Snaterse, M. (2020). *The influence of partners on lifestyle-related risk factors in patients after an acute coronary syndrome: results from the RESPONSE-2 randomized controlled trial*. Poster session presented at European Society of Cardiology, digital conference 2020, Amsterdam, Netherlands.

#### **General rights**

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

#### **Disclaimer/Complaints regulations**

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please contact the library:

<https://www.amsterdamuas.com/library/contact/questions>, or send a letter to: University Library (Library of the University of Amsterdam and Amsterdam University of Applied Sciences), Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.



L.. Verweij (l.verweij@hva.nl)<sup>1</sup>, H.T. Jorstad<sup>2</sup>, M. Minneboo<sup>2</sup>, G. ter Riet<sup>1,2</sup>, R.J.G. Peters<sup>2</sup>, W.J.M. Scholte op Reimer<sup>1,2</sup>, M. Snaterse<sup>1</sup> on behalf of the RESPONSE-2 group

<sup>1</sup> Urban Vitality Centre for Applied Research, Faculty of Health, Amsterdam University of Applied Sciences, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; <sup>2</sup> Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Department of Cardiology, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

## Background

Having a partner is associated with better prognosis in patients with cardiovascular disease. However, the influence of partners on modification of patients' lifestyle-related risk factors (LRFs) is unclear.

## Aim

To study the influence of partners and the level of partner participation on LRF modification in patients after coronary artery disease (CAD).

## Methods

Secondary analysis of the RESPONSE-2 trial<sup>1</sup> (multicentre RCT). The primary outcome was the association of partner on patients' improvement in  $\geq 1$  objectively measured LRF, without deterioration in other LRFs at 12 months follow-up (n=711). Secondary, the influence of the level of partner participation (participating partner, non-participating partner and no partner) in the lifestyle programs in the intervention group was studied.

## Intervention

In the RESPONSE-2<sup>1</sup> intervention up to three community-based lifestyle programs were provided, depending on patients' LRFs and preferences. Partners were invited to participate regardless of their own lifestyle issues.

- **The smoking cessation program** (Luchtsignaal<sup>®</sup>) was a telephone counselling-based intervention. Trained professionals provided strategies of motivational interviewing and pharmacological treatments for smoking cessation were prescribed, as appropriate.
- **The weight loss program** (Weight Watchers<sup>®</sup>) was focused on diet patterns, unhealthy behaviour and physical activity. Weekly group-based sessions and weigh-ins were provided.
- **The physical activity program** (Philips DirectLife<sup>®</sup>) was offered as an internet-based program with an accelerometer and personalized feedback by an online coach to monitor and improve physical activity.

## Results

**Table 1. Population characteristics**

	Total group N=711			Intervention group with partner n=293		
	No partner	Partner	p-value	Participating partner	Non-participating partner	p-value
	n=140	n=571		n=141	n=152	
Age, years	58 ±9	59 ±9	0.32	59 ±8	58 ±10	0.32
Female	41 (29)	108 (19)	0.007	21 (15)	38 (25)	0.03
Cohabiting	NA	29 (5)	NA	132 (94)	141 (93)	0.93
<b>Patient risk profiles</b>						
Overweight (BMI $\geq 27$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	94 (67)	428 (75)	0.07	116 (82)	105 (69)	0.009
Smoking or quit $\leq 6$ month of baseline	93 (66)	246 (43)	<0.001	55 (39)	76 (50)	0.06
Physical inactivity ( $\leq 30$ minutes per day)	77 (55)	366 (64)	0.05	98 (70)	91 (60)	0.09
<b>Partner risk profiles</b>						
Smoking partner (self-reported)	NA	147 (26)	NA	30 (21)	50 (33)	0.03
Overweight partner (self-reported)	NA	249 (44)	NA	71 (50)	47 (31)	0.001
Physical inactivity partner (self-reported)	NA	231 (40)	NA	61 (43)	55 (36)	0.17
<b>Referred to a lifestyle program</b>						
Luchtsignaal, smoking cessation, N=76	NA	NA	NA	16 (21)	25 (33)	0.64
Weight Watchers, weight reduction N=222	NA	NA	NA	90 (41)	74 (33)	0.23
Direct Life, physical activity N=177	NA	NA	NA	81 (46)	60 (34)	0.008

**Table 2. Association of (participating) partners and patients' outcomes in lifestyle-related risk factors**

Total group	Explanatory variable	aOR	95% CI	p-value
<b>Primary outcome</b>				
Overall success	Partner	2.57	1.57 - 4.21	<0.001
Men overall success		2.32	1.32 - 4.07	<0.001
Women overall success		4.03	1.38 - 11.76	0.03
<b>Intervention group</b>				
<b>Secondary outcomes</b>				
Overall success		2.45	1.25 - 4.79	0.009
Smoking cessation	Participating partner vs no partner	1.14	0.55 - 2.36	NS
Weight reduction		2.71	1.16 - 6.36	0.02
Physical activity		1.20	0.65 - 2.19	NS

## Conclusion

Having a partner is associated with improvement on LRFs in patients after CAD. Moreover, patients with participating partners in the lifestyle programs were most successful in LRF modification.

Involvement of partners in lifestyle interventions should be considered in routine practice.



No conflicts of interest to declare