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Abstract
Urban environments are full of noise and obstacles, and therefore potentially dangerous and difficult to navigate for the visually impaired. Using Bluetooth beacons and a smartphone app we guide them through these environments by providing the information needed for that specific location. We present the preliminary results concerning the usability of our approach.
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Introduction
Visually impaired people experience trouble with navigation and orientation due to their weakened ability to rely on eyesight to monitor the environment [1][2]. Smartphones such as the iPhone are already popular devices among the visually impaired for navigating [3]. Could this device also be helpful for orientating? We developed an iPhone application that responds to Bluetooth beacons to inform the user about their environment. We tested the implementation in an urban environment with visually impaired people using...
the route from the Amsterdam Bijlmer train station to an office of Royal Dutch Visio.

**Related Work**

Verbal descriptions of local geometric detail improve wayfinding and accuracy [4]. In addition to the current navigational methods, the visually impaired would like to see information about their environment such as lampposts, trees and other obstacles in the public space [2]. Atkins et al. showed that beacons can be used to supply ad hoc information about a nearby obstacle in the environment where GPS cannot [5]. Havik et al. developed a protocol for instructions supplied by RFID beacons [6], dictating the supply of detailed information about the environment, important landmarks and information about the next navigation point.

**Method**

We tested if we could aid the navigation of visually impaired through an urban environment using iBeacons and a smartphone application. Bluetooth beacons were attached at two meters high to lampposts and traffic signs along a specified route to give the user instructions via a custom made iPhone app. Three different obstacle types were identified and implemented in the app: a crossover with traffic signs, a car parking entrance and objects blocking the pathway like stairs. Following the protocol of Havik et al. [6] at each obstacle the beacon will trigger the app to present important information about the surroundings like potential hazards nearby, how to navigate around or through obstacles and information about the next obstacle. The information is presented using pictures of the environment and instructions in text. The application uses Apple’s accessibility features to communicate the instructions with VoiceOver screenreader [4]. Furthermore the app allows the user to preview the route, to prepare for upcoming obstacles and landmarks. Last, users can customize the app by specifying the amount of detail in images and information the app presents.

To determine if the app is more useful for the participants than their current navigational method, participants walked the route both with and without the application. When walking with the app, participants were guided by the app. When walking without the app they used their own navigational method. During both walks a supervisor ensured the safety of the participant.

During both walks, after each obstacle, participants were asked how safe they felt. We used a five point Likert scale where one stood for “feeling very safe” and five for “feeling very unsafe”.

Qualitative feedback on the usability of the app was collected via two methods: 1. During the test While walking the route participants were asked to speak their thoughts about the app. 2. After having walked the route the participants were asked several questions about the application.
Figure 1: Example of an instruction in the app (in Dutch), triggered by a beacon on a traffic sign: ‘Ahead of you are stairs that partially block the path. A guideline will direct you around the stairs, after which you will be able to continue following the sidewalk. On your right there will be a building that you can follow as a guide line.’

Results
Five visually impaired participated, one female and five males, age range from 30 to 78 and with varying levels of visual limitations. Three participants were familiar with the route and two walked the route for the first time.

After each obstacle participants rated how safe they felt on a five point Likert scale. We normalized the results by deducting the scores of the walk without the app from the scores of the walk with the app. The average of all participants is shown in figure 2. When passing the traffic light halfway during the route we see that the participants feel safer with than without the app.

Summarizing the qualitative feedback, we noticed that all participants indicated feeling supported by the app. They found the type of instructions ideal for walking and learning new routes. Of the five participants, three found the length of the instructions appropriate and two found them too long. They would like to split the detailed instructions in a short instruction and the
option for more detailed instructions. They felt that a
detailed instruction gave too much information in a
hazardous environment like a crossover. Two
participants found the information focused on
orientation not necessary, while three participants liked
knowing their surroundings.

Conclusion and discussion
We developed a prototype app that gives users
instructions when they are in the proximity of a beacon.
These instructions inform about potential hazards
ahead of them and about nearby recognizable
landmarks. We tested our app with five visual impaired
people along the route from the Amsterdam Bijlmer
train station to an office of Royal Dutch Visio to
determine if their sense of security improved by using
the application.

Looking at the safety questions we see that participants
felt safer with the app, especially when crossing the
road with traffic lights. We believe this big difference in
comparison to the other obstacles is due to the
crossover being considered more dangerous than the
other obstacles. This is reflected by their feedback in
requesting less direct information at these locations.

All participants indicated feeling supported and at ease
with our application, stating they would use the
application when walking new routes.

Because of the small sample size we consider our
results an indication that the app can be of help and a
good start for further research on guiding people
through an urban environment with beacons.
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