

OARSI Joint Effort Initiative Survey - Part 2 Prioritisation of Topics

Participant Information Statement

The Osteoarthritis Research Society International's (OARSI) Joint Effort Initiative (JEI), invites you to participate in a survey to prioritise topics important for the successful implementation of Osteoarthritis Management Programs (OAMPs). The results of this study will guide the future work of the JEI. You have been invited as you had expressed prior interest in being involved in the prioritisation survey.

The study is being carried out by the JEI Steering Committee. The survey is being coordinated by Dr Jocelyn Bowden, Dr Jillian Eyles, and Professor David Hunter from the University of Sydney, and Dr Kathryn Mills, from Macquarie University, Australia. Further information on the Joint Effort Initiative can be found at: oarsi.org/discussion-group-joint-effort-initiative.

The aim of this survey (Part 2) is to prioritise the top topics identified as important by the JEI membership. The answers are your own personal opinions.

To participate, you will need to complete and submit the online prioritisation survey. The survey should take you approximately 5 minutes to complete. The survey will ask you to rank 5 topics/actions, under 5 different themes. You will be asked to rank the topics/activities on a sliding scale from 0 (lowest priority for JEI) to 100 (highest priority for the JEI).

This survey is voluntary. You do not have to take part. We do not expect that there will be any risks or costs to you. You will not receive any direct benefits from completing the survey.

Submitting your completed survey is an indication that you consent to participate. You can withdraw at any time before you submit the survey however, once your responses are submitted, they cannot be withdrawn. If you choose not to take part or choose to take part and then withdraw, this will have no impact on your relationship with the OARSI Joint Effort Initiative team. Any data collected will be stored on a secure University of Sydney server in Australia, accessible only to the University of Sydney researchers involved with the study.

The main topics identified in this survey will be gathered by the University of Sydney researchers, and endorsed by the Joint Effort Initiative Steering Committee. Topics prioritised may be published at a future date, presented at international conferences, or used to inform future research. A summary of the final results will be uploaded to the OARSI Joint Effort Initiative website when complete. You will not be individually identifiable in any publications or presentations we produce.

Research involving humans in Australia is reviewed by an independent group of people called a Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC). The ethical aspects of this study have been approved by the HREC of the University of Sydney [2022/766]. As part of this process, we have agreed to carry out the study according to the Australian National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Human Research (2007). This statement has been developed to protect people who agree to take part in research studies.

If you are concerned about the way this study is being conducted or you wish to make a complaint to someone independent from the study, please contact the University of Sydney (Australia):

Human Ethics Manager

human.ethics@sydney.edu.au

+61 2 8627 8176

If you have any questions concerning the survey, please contact Dr Jillian Eyles on (jillian.eyles@sydney.edu.au) or Dr Jocelyn Bowden (jocelyn.bowden@sydney.edu.au), who are coordinating the survey on behalf of the Joint Effort Initiative.

Do you wish to continue to the survey?

- Yes
 No

**Promotion and advocacy: What priority areas should the JEI Steering Committee support to promote better delivery of OA management programs?
Please rank the following five statements on a 100 point scale. 0= lowest priority, 100=highest priority.**

Develop resources and training for health professionals to deliver high-quality osteoarthritis care.

lowest priority highest priority

(Place a mark on the scale above)

Support best-practice implementation of osteoarthritis care and promote OA international clinical guidelines.

lowest priority highest priority

(Place a mark on the scale above)

Provide leadership in promoting Public and Patient Involvement (PPI) in osteoarthritis care.

lowest priority highest priority

(Place a mark on the scale above)

Provide leadership on addressing social and environmental determinants of health in osteoarthritis care.

lowest priority highest priority

(Place a mark on the scale above)

Support implementation strategies that ensure diversity and inclusion in osteoarthritis care.


lowest priority highest priority

(Place a mark on the scale above)


Training and educational activities: What training and educational activities should the JEl Training and Education Working Group deliver as priorities?

Please rank the following five statements on a 100 point scale. 0= lowest priority, 100=highest priority.


Integration of OA educational programs into undergraduate / post graduate programs (e.g. University level)




Training programs for advanced OA skills and/or extended scope of practice for clinicians delivering OA care.




A Community of Practice for clinicians delivering OA care.



Training in how to include behaviour change methodology in OA Management Programs.




Training in how to address Social and Environmental Determinants of Health in OA management programs.




Delivery of OA Management programs: What should the JEI provide to improve delivery of OA management programs?

Please rank the following five statements on a 100 point scale. 0= lowest priority, 100=highest priority.


New ways to deliver OA Management Programs outside of formal health settings (e.g. how to develop community networks)




Regular updates on changes to best-evidence care (e.g. new evidence).




Guidance on addressing multi-morbidity in OA Management Programs (e.g. polypharmacy affecting ability to engage, contraindications, safety).



Guidance on how the basic components of OA Management Program can be adapted for different care settings.



Guidance on tailoring programs to suit a range of socioeconomic conditions.



What specific activities / resources would you like the JEI to deliver?
Please rank the following five statements on a 100 point scale. 0= lowest priority, 100=highest priority.

Research methods in implementation science

lowest priority highest priority

(Place a mark on the scale above)

Promoting greater research collaborations

lowest priority highest priority

(Place a mark on the scale above)

Methods to ensure successful monitoring and evaluation of program outcomes

lowest priority highest priority

(Place a mark on the scale above)

Training on how to involve consumers (patients) and public in the design of OA Management Programs (e.g. workshops)

lowest priority highest priority

(Place a mark on the scale above)


Sharing challenges and opportunities of OA Management Program implementation (e.g. workshops showcasing different programs)

lowest priority highest priority


(Place a mark on the scale above)

**Research Priorities: What priority research areas should the JEI should focus on?
Please rank the following five statements on a 100 point scale. 0= lowest priority,
100=highest priority.**


Trialling new ways to promote uptake of exercise, physical activity, and weight-loss

lowest priority highest priority

(Place a mark on the scale above)


Developing quality indicators for OA care delivered by health professionals.

lowest priority highest priority

(Place a mark on the scale above)


Trialling new models or pathways of care for OA Management Programs.

lowest priority highest priority

(Place a mark on the scale above)

Comparison of the clinical & cost effectiveness of different OA Management Programs.

lowest priority highest priority

(Place a mark on the scale above)

Trialling new implementation methods for international OA management guidelines.

lowest priority highest priority

(Place a mark on the scale above)

Have we forgotten anything?

Please write any final suggestions for the JEI in the box provided.
